arXiv:1404.7166v1 [math.CO] 28 Apr 2014

The Cremona-Richmond Configuration revisited and generalized

M. Prażmowska, K. Prażmowski

April 30, 2014

Abstract

We propose a generalization of the classical point-line Cremona-Richmond configuration to a configuration of points and more dimensional subspaces of a projective space, and present them as geometric realizations of some interesting abstract incidence structures.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05B30, 51E30, 51E20.
Keywords: Cremona-Richmond Configuration, generalized quadrangle, weak chain space, configuration and its (projective) realization.

Introduction

The Cremona-Richmond Configuration (shortly: C-R-Configuration) was invented as a geometrical $(15_3\,15_3)$-configuration of points and lines in a projective 3-space (see [1], [4]). On the other hand, it is also the famous generalized quadrangle of order $(2,2)$ (see [10, Page 122] and [11]). It can be also presented as a (combinatorial) scheme of the 2-subsets of a 6-set (cf. [7]). Another nice example can be found in elementary geometry: C-R-Configuration is obtained as a figure consisting of some special points in a triangle (see [2]). Each one of these presentations can be generalized, and these generalizations need not necessarily be consistent/contigent.

In this note we introduce a generalization of the *combinatorial* C-R-configuration and discuss in a point its automorphisms. Then, we define a (quite natural) generalization of the *geometrical* C-R-configuration and we show that the obtained structures are realizations of some generalized combinatorial C-R-configurations. To some extent following that way we can realize also all the generalized C-R-configurations, and some other related combinatorial configurations.

1 Constructions and results: combinatorial configurations

Let $\wp_l(X)$ denote the set of l-element subsets of a set X. Let k, s be positive integers, and X be a set with $n = k \cdot s + m$ elements, $m \geq 0$. For $a \subset X$ we write

$\varkappa(a) = X \setminus a$. Let us set

$$\mathcal{E}_k^s(X) := \mathcal{E} := \{\{a_1 \cup p, \dots, a_s \cup p\} \colon a_1, \dots a_s \in \wp_k(X),\ p \in \wp_m(X), \\ a_i \cap a_j = \emptyset = p \cap a_i, a_j \text{ for all } 1 \le i < j \le s\}. \quad (1)$$

We call the incidence structure

$$\mathbf{R}_k^s(X) := \langle \wp_{k+m}(X), \mathcal{E}_k^s(X) \rangle \quad (2)$$

a *generalized Cremona-Richmond configuration*. We write, shortly

$$\mathbf{R}_k^s(n) \text{ for } \mathbf{R}_k^s(X), \text{ where } X \text{ is a set with } |X| = n \ge ks.$$

The number $m = |X| - ks$ is uniquely determined by the parameters X, s, and k.

Recall also one more definition from [7]: a *generalized Sylvester system* is a structure of the form

$$\mathbf{H}_k(X) = \langle \wp_{2k}(X), \{\{a, b, a \setminus b \cup b \setminus a\} \colon |a \cap b| = k,\ a, b \in \wp_{2k}(X)\} \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Let a be a point of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$. The neighborhood of a is the substructure of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$ whose points are the points on the blocks through a with the point a deleted, and whose blocks are the blocks through a.

FACT 1.1. *Assume that $|X| = ks$ and $a \in \wp_k(X)$. Then the neighborhood of a in $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$ is exactly $\mathbf{R}_k^{s-1}(X \setminus a)$.*

The following is evident

FACT 1.2. *Let $|X| = n = ks + m$. Then $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$ is a $\left((\boldsymbol{\nu}_k^s)_{(\boldsymbol{r}_k^s)}\,(\boldsymbol{b}_k^s)_s\right)$-configuration with $\boldsymbol{\nu}_k^s = \binom{n}{k(s-1)}$, $\boldsymbol{r}_k^s = \frac{((s-1)k)!}{(s-1)!(k!)^{s-1}} \cdot \binom{k+m}{k} = \frac{(n-(s-1)k)!((s-1)k)!}{(n-ks)!(s-1)!(k!)^s}$, and $\boldsymbol{b}_k^s = \frac{n!}{(n-ks)!s!(k!)^s}$, such that*

A1. any two blocks which have (at least) $s-1$ common points coincide, and

A2. two distinct blocks may have $0, 1, ..., s-2$ common points.

PROOF. To compute $\boldsymbol{\nu}_k^s$ note that, right from definition, $\boldsymbol{\nu}_k^s = \binom{n}{n-(k+m)}$. The formula for $\boldsymbol{r}_k^s$ follows by the property analogous to 1.1. Let $a \in \wp_{k+m}(X)$. Each block of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$ through a has form $\{a\} \cup \{p \cup u \colon u \in B\}$, where B is an *arbitrary* block of $\mathbf{R}_k^{s-1}(X \setminus a)$ and $p \in \wp_m(a)$. The value $\frac{((s-1)k)!}{(s-1)!(k!)^{s-1}}$ is the number of the decompositions of an $n-(k+m) = k(s-1)$-element set into $s-1$ undistinguishable groups, with k elements in each group. Clearly, each block of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$ has s elements. With $\boldsymbol{\nu}_k^s \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_k^s = \boldsymbol{b}_k^s \cdot s$ we compute $\boldsymbol{b}_k^s$.

Let $a_1, ..., a_r$ be a decomposition of a kr-element set Z into disjoint k-sets, $k, r \ge 2$. Choose $x_i \in a_i$ for each $i = 1, ..., r$ and set $b_i = (a_i \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x_{i+1 \mod r}\}$. Then $b_1, ..., b_r$ is a decomposition of Z and $a_{i'} \ne b_{i''}$ for all $1 \le i', i'' \le r$. That way we compute the number of possible common points of two blocks of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$. □

The structure $\mathbf{R}_k^3(3k+m)$ coincides with the partial linear space $\varkappa(\mathbf{H}_k(3k+m))$, isomorphic to the partial Steiner triple system $\mathbf{H}_{k+m}^\circ(3k+m)$ introduced in [7]. In particular, $\mathbf{R}_k^3(3k) \cong \mathbf{H}_k(3k) \cong \mathbf{H}_k^*(3k)$ (see Figure 1). More particularly, $\mathbf{R}_2^3(6)$ is the generalized quadrangle of order $(2, 2)$.



Figure 1: A drawing of $\mathbf{H}_2^*(6)$ i.e. the *classical* Cremona-Richmond Configuration.

As a consequence of A1, A2 of 1.2 a structure of the form $\mathbf{R}_k^4(4k+m)$ may be considered as a weak (partial) chain space (cf. [5], [12]). In particular, by 1.1, each weak chain structure $\mathbf{R}_k^4(4k)$ can be imagined as a block structure obtained by nesting and closing several copies of "generalized Sylvester Systems" $\mathbf{H}_k(3k)$, each one of the latter being a famous triangle-free point-line configuration. A few more properties of $\mathbf{R}_k^4(4k)$ are indicated in 2.8.

Let us also mention one more area of mathematics where the structures like $\mathbf{R}_k^s(n)$ appear. Namely, the binary joinablity relation on the point set of the incidence structure $\mathbf{R}_k^s(ks)$ is the well-know *Kneser graph* $KG_{ks,k}$: the vertices of $KG_{ks,k}$ are the elements of $\wp_k(X)$ where $|X| = ks$, and the edges are the (unordered) pairs of *disjoint* elements of $\wp_k(X)$ (cf. e.g. the textbook [3]).

1.1 Automorphisms

Each $\varphi \in S_X$ determines the 'image'-permutation $\widetilde{\varphi}$ of $\wp(X)$. Let $l \leq |X|$ and write

$$S_X^l = \{\widetilde{\varphi} \restriction \wp_l(X) \colon \varphi \in S_X\}.$$

It is evident that for each $\varphi \in S_X$ its action $\widetilde{\varphi}$ on $\wp_{k+m}(X)$ is an automorphism of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$; we can say

$$S_X^{k+m} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)).$$

The following is evident

LEMMA 1.3. *The permutation group S_X^{k+m} acts transitively on the family of points of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$.*

From the previous remarks and the results of [7] (e.g.) we have $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{R}_k^3(3k)) = S_{3k}^k \cong S_{3k}$.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let $|X| = ks$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)) = S_X^k$.*

PROOF. The point is to prove $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{R}^s_k(X)) \subset S^k_X$. Let $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{R}^s_k(X))$. It suffices (cf. [7], [6]) to show that f is an automorphism of the relation γ defined below:

$$a \,\gamma\, b \iff a, b \in \wp_k(X),\ |a \cap b| = k-1.$$

On the other hand, the relation γ can be characterized by the following formula (4), expressible in the language of the incidence structure $\mathbf{R}^s_k(X)$. For each set $\{a_1, ..., a_j\} \subset \wp_k(X)$, $j \le s$ we write

$$\varpi(a_1, ..., a_j) \text{ iff there exists } C \in \mathcal{E}^s_k(X) \text{ with } a_1, ...a_j \in C.$$

Let $a, b \in \wp_k(X)$.

$$a \,\gamma\, b \iff \neg\varpi(a,b) \wedge (\exists\ a_4, ..., a_s \in \wp_k(X))\Big[\varpi(a_4, ..., a_s, a) \wedge \varpi(a_4, ..., a_s, b) \\ \wedge \big|\{c \in \wp_k(X) \colon \varpi(a_4, ..., a_s, c) \wedge \varpi(a, c) \wedge \varpi(b, c)\}\big| = \binom{2k-1}{k}\Big]. \quad (4)$$

Since f preserves ϖ it preserves γ as well and thus it is determined by a permutation of X. □

***Remark* 1.** Proposition 1.4 does not remain valid for arbitrary $|X|$. In [7, Remarks 4.6 and 4.7] it was proved that $S_7 \subsetneq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H}_2(7))$ and $S_8 \subsetneq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H}_2(8))$. Evidently, $\mathrm{Aut}(\varkappa(\mathbf{H}_l(X))) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H}_l(X))$, so $S_7 \subsetneq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{R}^3_2(7))$ and $S_8 \subsetneq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{R}^3_2(8))$. The problem to determine $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{R}^s_k(ks+m))$ with $m > 0$ needs more specific methods.

2 Geometric realizations

Let us consider a projective space $\mathfrak{P} = PG(n-2, \mathfrak{F})$ $(n \ge 5)$ with an arbitrary coordinate field $\mathfrak{F}$, let F be the corresponding set of scalars, and let $Q = \{q_0, \dots, q_{n-1}\}$ be a projective frame in $\mathfrak{P}$. Set $X = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. With each subset $u \in \wp(X)$ we associate the projective subspace $Q_u = \overline{\{q_i \colon i \in u\}}$ of $\mathfrak{P}$; clearly, $\dim(Q_u) = |u| - 1$ for a proper subset u. The reader can find immediately connections with base subsets of [9] (and [8]): in case of [9] a projective base of $\mathfrak{P}$ is used in place of a projective frame Q, with remaining definitions unchanged.

Let U_1, U_2 be subspaces of a vector space. The following formula is well known:

$$\dim(U_1 + U_2) = \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2). \quad (5)$$

From this we easily derive that the same formula holds for subspaces of a projective space.

Consequently,

for each nonvoid proper subset a of X the set $Q_a \cap Q_{\varkappa(a)}$ is a single point p_a. (6)

In the sequel we shall frequently use the map $p \colon a \longmapsto p_a$ defined in (6),

$$p \colon \wp(X) \setminus \{\emptyset, X\} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{P}.$$

Let us write $\mathcal{P}$ for the set $p(\wp(X) \setminus \{\emptyset, X\})$. More precisely, one should use the notation $\mathcal{P}_Q(\mathfrak{P})$ to indicate all the parameters involved. Since the space $\mathfrak{P}$ is homogeneous, there is a collineation of $\mathfrak{P}$ that maps $\mathcal{P}_{Q_1}(\mathfrak{P})$ onto $\mathcal{P}_{Q_2}(\mathfrak{P})$ for each

two frames Q_1, Q_2 in $\mathfrak{P}$ and thus the parameter Q is inessential in the definition of $\mathcal{P}$. The parameter $\mathfrak{P}$ may be, sometimes, essential.

Note that $p_{\{i\}} = q_i$ for each $i \in X$. Directly from the definition we get $p_a = p_{\varkappa(a)}$.

The projective spaces considered in this note are at least threedimensional and thus each one can be represented as the projective space over a vector space. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space with a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$, over a field $\mathfrak{F}$. Set $e_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i$. Then the family

$$Q \quad = \quad (q_i = \langle e_i \rangle = Fe_i \colon i = 0, ..., n-1)$$

is a frame in the projective space $\mathfrak{P} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{V}) = PG(n-2, \mathfrak{F})$ over $\mathbb{V}$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $a \in \wp(X)$, $a \neq \emptyset, X$, and $0 \notin a$. Then*

$$p_a = p_{\varkappa(a)} = \langle \sum_{i \in a} e_i \rangle. \tag{7}$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality we can assume that $a = \{1, ..., k\}$. If $k = n-1$ then $p_{\varkappa(a)} = p_{\{0\}} = q_0$, so (7) is valid. Assume that $k < n-1$. The set of solutions of the equation

$$\alpha_1 e_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k e_k = \beta_0 (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i) + \beta_{k+1} e_{k+1} + \cdots + \beta_{n-1} e_{n-1} \tag{8}$$

with the unknown all the α_i, β_j is, clearly, the projective point $\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i \rangle$ ($\alpha_1 = ... = \alpha_k = \beta_0 = -\beta_{k+1} = ... = -\beta_{n-1}$). The left-hand-side of (8) represents an element of Q_a with $a = \{1, ..., k\}$, and the right-hand-side of (8) represents an element of Q_b with $b = \{0, k+1, ..., n-1\}$, complementary to a. Therefore, finally, $\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i \rangle = p_a = p_b$. □

2.1 Embedding of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(ks)$

Note evident observation.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $a', a'' \in \wp_k(X)$ be disjoint. Then $Q_{\varkappa(a')} \cup Q_{\varkappa(a'')}$ spans the whole space $\mathfrak{P}$, i.e. $\dim(Q_{\varkappa(a')} \cap Q_{\varkappa(a'')}) = |X| - 2k$. This dimension is equal to $k(s-2)$ when $|X| = ks$.*

Consecutively applying (5) and 2.2 we get

LEMMA 2.3. *Assume $|X| = ks$. Let $a_1, ..., a_j \in \wp_k(X)$ be pairwise disjoint. Then*

$$\dim(Q_{\varkappa(a_1)} \cap ... \cap Q_{\varkappa(a_j)}) = k(s-j) + j - 2. \tag{9}$$

As an important consequence of 2.3 and 2.1 we obtain the following

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Assume that $|X| = ks$. The map $\wp_k(X) \ni a \longmapsto p_a$ embeds $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$ into $\mathfrak{P} = PG(n-2, \mathfrak{F})$: each block B of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$ (an s-element set of points) is mapped on points on a $(s-2)$-subspace of $\mathfrak{P}$. Precisely: the projective dimension of the subspace $\overline{p(B)}$ is $s-2$. Moreover, $p_a \notin \overline{p(B)}$ when $a \notin B$ and therefore p sends distinct blocks to distinct $(s-2)$-subspaces.*

PROOF. Let $B = \{a_1, ..., a_s\}$ be a block of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$. Each point p_{a_i} is contained, by definition (6), in the subspace $Q_{\varkappa(a_i)}$. And p_{a_i} is contained also in $Q_{\varkappa(a_j)}$ for $i \neq j$: indeed, $a_i \subset \varkappa(a_j)$ and thus $p_{a_i} \in Q_{a_i} \subset Q_{\varkappa(a_j)}$. Consequently, $p_{a_1}, ..., p_{a_s} \in Q_{\varkappa(a_1)} \cap ... \cap Q_{\varkappa(a_s)}$. From (9) we get that $\dim(Q_{\varkappa(a_1)} \cap ... \cap Q_{\varkappa(a_s)}) = s - 2$.

Now, suppose that $\sum_{j=1}^{t} \alpha_j p_{a_{i_j}} = \theta$ is the zero vector of $\mathbb{V}$ for some scalars α_j, $t < k$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $0 \notin a_{j_1}, ..., a_{j_t}$ and then from 2.1 we get $\alpha_j = 0$ for each j. So, no proper subset of the points in $p(B)$ is projectively dependent.

Suppose that $p_a \in \overline{p(B)}$, $B = \{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}$ is a block of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$, $B \not\ni a$ is a point of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $0 \in a_s$. Assume $0 \notin a$. Then 2.1 yields $\sum_{j\in a} e_j = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1}(\alpha_i \sum_{j\in a_i} e_j) + \alpha_s \sum_{j\in a_1\cup...\cup a_{s_1}} e_j$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, s-1$ there is $j_i \in a_i \setminus a$, which gives $0 \cdot e_{j_i} = \alpha_i \cdot e_{j_i} + \alpha_s \cdot e_{j_i}$ and therefore $\alpha_i = -\alpha_s$. Finally, we conclude with $\sum_{j\in a} e_j = \theta$. Analogous contradiction arizes when we put $0 \in a$. This completes the proof of our claim. □

In view of 2.4 with each block B of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(ks)$ we can uniquely associate a $(s-2)$-dimensional subspace $p_B = \overline{p(B)}$ of $\mathfrak{P}$ such that the projective configuration (embedded into $\mathfrak{P}$)

$$\langle \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{P}), \{p_B \colon B \in \mathcal{E}_k^s(sk)\}\rangle$$

is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}_k^s(sk)$.

With computations analogous to those in the proof of 2.4 we can formulate a direct characterization of the subspaces p_B:

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let $B = \{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}$ be a block of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$, $|X| = ks$; let $0 \in a_s$. Then*

$$p_B = \langle \sum_{j\in a_1} e_j, \ldots, \sum_{j\in a_{s-1}} e_j \rangle. \tag{10}$$

Note that, as an evident consequence of 1.4 the embedding defined in 2.4 is *free* in the following sense: *each automorphism of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(ks)$ extends to a projective collineation of $PG(ks-2, \mathfrak{F})$.*

One more property of the above embedding may be worth to note.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $1 < k$ be an integer. Assume that the characteristic of $\mathfrak{F}$ does not divide k. Let $A \subsetneq X$ and $2k < |A|$. Then the two projective subspaces of $\mathfrak{P}$: the set Q_A spanned by the points $q_x = p_{\{x\}}$ with $x \in A$, and the subspace spanned by the set $\{p_a \colon a \in \wp_k(A)\}$, coincide.*

PROOF. The claim is a tautology for $k = 1$, so let $k \geq 2$. Set $v = |A|$. Since $v < |X|$, we can assume that $0 \notin A$ and make use of 2.1, and then it is clear that $\{p_a \colon a \in \wp_k(A)\} \subset Q_A$. This, what remains to prove consists in the following

Lemma. *Let $B = \{e_1, ..., e_v\}$ be a basis of a vector space U. Then the family of all the sums $e_{i_1} + ... + e_{i_k}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < ..i_k \leq v$ spans the space U.*

Proof of the lemma: Let U_0 be the subspace spanned by the sums as above. First, observe that $(e_{i_1} + ... + e_{i_{k-1}} + e_{i'}) - (e_{i_1} + ... + e_{i_{k-1}} + e_{i''}) \in U_0$ for each pairwise distinct basis vectors $e_{i_1}, ..., e_{i_{k-1}}, e_{i'}, e_{i''}$. This proves that $e' - e'' \in U_0$ for arbitrary $e', e'' \in B$. To close the proof note that $e_i = \frac{1}{k}((e_i + e_{i_2} + ... + e_{i_k}) + ((e_i - e_{i_2}) + ... + (e_i - e_{i_k})) \in U_0$. □

NOTE 1. The above embedding p in the case of $\mathbf{R}_2^3(6)$ is the well known Cremona-Richmond Configuration of points and lines of a $(2\cdot 3-2)=4$ dimensional projective space (cf. [1]); in the particular case $\mathfrak{F}=Z_2$, it is precisely the embedding of $\mathbf{H}_2(6)$ into $PG(4,2)$ considered in [7]. On the other hand note (comp. 2.6) that $\mathbf{R}_2^3(6)$ can be also embedded into a less-dimensional space $PG(3,2)$. In the case when we embed $\mathbf{R}_2^3(6)$ into a projective space over Z_2 we have the following irregularity: Let $A=\{1,2,3\}$. Then $\dim(Q_A)=3$ and $\dim(\overline{\{p_a\colon a\in\wp_2(A)\}})=2$. Firstly, this yields that after (C-R-) embedding, some more collinearities may appear than those inherited from $\mathbf{R}_2^3(6)$ (see 2.15).

EXAMPLE 2.7. Note, moreover, that the embedding defined in 2.4 gives us a projective point-line configuration isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}_k(3k)$ for arbitrary $k\geq 3$, embedded into an arbitrary $(3k-2)$-dimensional projective space.

EXAMPLE 2.8. Let us pay attention to a weak chain structure $\mathfrak{M}:=\mathbf{R}_k^4(X)$ with $|X|=4k$. As already noted, the neighborhood of a point of $\mathfrak{M}$ is the configuration $\mathbf{H}_k^*(3k)$: the "classical" Cremona-Richmond configuration. Let us quote some evident 'synthetic' properties of $\mathfrak{M}$. Their proofs consist in straightforward computations.

- *Let A_1,A_2,A_3 be blocks of $\mathfrak{M}$, which pairwise intersect in points: $a_i\in A_i, A_{i+1 \bmod 3}$. Then a_1,a_2,a_3 are on a block* (cf. the axiom '$a_i\sim a_j$ *for* $i,j\in\{1,2,3\}$ *implies* a_1,a_2,a_3 *on a block*' of the Möbius geometry).
- *Let B_0,B_1,B_2 be blocks of $\mathfrak{M}$. If $|B_0\cap B_i|=2$ for $i=1,2$ then $B_1=B_2$ or $B_1\cap B_2\subset B_0$.* This yields that no "chain-plane" can be spanned in $\mathfrak{M}$ by a pair of blocks that have two common points. Moreover *the Miquel Axiom and the Bundle Axiom are valid in* $\mathfrak{M}$, but they are *trivially valid*: no system of points and blocks of $\mathfrak{M}$ satisfies the assumptions of the axioms in question.
- *Let $B_0\cap B_1=\{a\}$ and $a\neq b\in B_1$ for points a,b and blocks B_0,B_1 of $\mathfrak{M}$. Then there is a block B_2 such that $b\in B_2\neq B_1$, $B_0\cap B_2=\{a\}$.* Therefore it is hard to imagine how to define the "tangency" relation in $\mathfrak{M}$.

PROBLEM 2.9. Is it possible to represent a weak chain structure $\mathbf{R}_k^4(X)$ on a sphere for some X?: represent with blocks interpreted as circles! In view of 2.8 it may be a hard task.

2.2 Embedding of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(ks+m)$, $m>0$

Of course, the map/representation p defined on subsets of a set X can be considered in the case $|X|-ks>0$ as well.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let $|X|=n=k\cdot s+m$, $m>0$. If the characteristic* $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{F})$ *of $\mathfrak{F}$ does not divide $s-1$ then p does not yield any embedding of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(n)$ into $PG(n-2,\mathfrak{F})$ with the blocks interpreted as $(s-2)-$subspaces (comp. 2.4). If* $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{F})\mid(s-1)$ *then p defined by* (6) *is a representation of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(n)$ in $PG(n-2,\mathfrak{F})$.*

PROOF. Let $B=\{a_1\cup d,\dots,a_s\cup d\}\in\mathcal{E}_k^s(X)$. So, $a_1,\dots a_s\in\wp_k(X)$, $d\in\wp_m(X)$, and $a_i\cap a_j=\emptyset=d\cap a_i,a_j$ for all $1\leq i<j\leq s$. Write $c_i=a_i\cup d$. Adopt

the notation of 2.1; without loss of generality we can assume that $0 \in d$ and then $p_{c_i} = p_{\varkappa(c_i)} = \sum\{e_j : j = 1, ..., n,\ j \notin c_i\}$.

Suppose that $p(B)$ is a dependent set of points of $PG(n-2, \mathfrak{F})$. Then there are scalars $\alpha_i \in F$ not all zero such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i p_{c_i} = \theta =$ the zero vector. Let us compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i p_{c_i} = & \quad \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\alpha_i \sum_{j \notin c_i} e_j) \\
= & \quad \alpha_1 \sum e_j : j \in \not{a}_1 \cup a_2 \cup \ldots \cup a_s \\
+ & \quad \alpha_2 \sum e_j : j \in a_1 \cup \not{a}_2 \cup \ldots \cup a_s \\
+ & \quad \vdots \\
+ & \quad \alpha_s \sum e_j : j \in a_1 \cup a_2 \cup \ldots \cup \not{a}_s \\
= & \quad \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_i (\sum_{j \in a_i} e_j).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_i = \beta_0 - \alpha_i$, $\beta_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i$. So, $\beta_i = 0$ for $i = 1, ..., s$. This yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_i = (s-1)\beta_0 = 0.$$

Two cases are possible. Either $s - 1 = 0$ holds in $\mathfrak{F}$ (i.e. the characteristic of $\mathfrak{F}$ divides $s - 1$) and in this case we search for a non zero solution of the system

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{s} \alpha_j = 0 : i = 1, \ldots, s \right\} \tag{11}$$

of linear equations. The matrix of this system is the characteristic matrix of the form $M = [1]_s - 1 \cdot \Delta_s$, where $[1]_s$ is the $s \times s$ matrix with all the entries 1, and Δ_s is the diagonal $s \times s$ matrix. It is a folklore that $\det(M) = (-1)^{s+1}(s-1)$. In our case $\det(M) = 0$ in $\mathfrak{F}$ and thus the system (11) has indeed a non zero solution.
It remains to show that if $B = \{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}$ is a block of $\mathbf{R}_k^s(X)$ then no system $p_{a_{i_1}}, \ldots, p_{a_{i_t}}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_t \leq s$, $t < s$ is projectively dependent. To this aim one can assume, without loss of generality, that $0 \notin a_{i_1}, ..., a_{i_t}$ and apply the reasoning analogous to that in 2.4.

Or $s - 1 \neq 0$ and thus $\beta_0 = 0$. This, in turn leads to $\alpha_i = 0$ for $i = 1, ..., s$, which means that the set $p(B)$ is independent, so $\dim(\overline{p(B)}) = s - 1$ and p is not a required embedding. □

Let us quote a few examples:

EXAMPLE 2.11.

(i) The map p yields a projective embedding of the structure $\mathbf{R}_k^3(n)$ into $PG(n-2, 2)$ for each $n \geq 3k$. Compare the embedding μ'' of $\mathbf{H}_k(n)$ into $PG(n-2, 2)$ defined in [7].

(ii) The map p yields a projective embedding of the weak chain structure $\mathbf{R}_k^4(n)$ onto a point-plane subconfiguration of $PG(n-2, 3)$ for each $n \geq 4k$. ○

EXAMPLE 2.12. The classical Cremona-Richmond configuration should be, perhaps, considered as a configuration embedded into a projective space *over the reals*. In this case, clearly, 2.10 and 2.4, 2.6 can be applied and we get that p is a realization of the generalized Cremona-Richmond configuration $\mathbf{R}_k^s(ks+m)$ in the real projective $(ks+m-2)$-space iff $m=0$. This does not mean, automatically, that there is no free projective realization of a Cremona-Richmond configuration $\mathbf{R}_k^s(ks+m)$ with any $m>0$ in a real projective space, but we *claim* that such a realization does not exist. ◯

2.3 Final remarks and examples

One can, finally, pay attention to the map p defined over the whole set $\wp(X)\setminus\{X,\emptyset\}$. Here we give some remarks on the subject.

PROBLEM 2.13. Determine the projective lines (more generally: 'dependencies', i.e. families which span in $\mathfrak{P}$ a subspace with the dimension smaller than the cardinality of the family minus 1) which join the points in $\{p_a\colon a\in\wp_k(X)\} =: \mathcal{P}_k(\mathfrak{P})\subset\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{P})$ (k is fixed) in the projective space $\mathfrak{P}$ introduced at the beginning of this Section.

EXAMPLE 2.14. Let us apply the representation $p\colon \wp_k(X)\longrightarrow\mathcal{P}_k(\mathfrak{P})$ defined in (6), where $|X|=5$. Then the constructed points are points of the space $\mathfrak{P}=PG(3,\mathfrak{F})$ for a field $\mathfrak{F}$. Generally, the only at least 3-element sections of lines of $\mathfrak{P}$ with the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{P})$ have form

$$\begin{array}{lll}\{p_a,p_b,p_c\} & \text{where} & X=a\cup b\cup c,\ |a|=2=|b|,\ |c|=1,\ \text{and}\\ \{p_a,p_b,p_c\} & \text{where} & c=a\cup b,\ |a|=1=|b|.\end{array}$$

The combinatorial schema consisting of such blocks may seem interesting (cf. Figure 2): in particular, it contains many Desargues subconfigurations. Indeed, consider any of following schemas:

$$p_{\{i,j\}}\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} p_{\{k\}} & p_{\{l,m\}} & p_{\{j,l\}}\\ p_{\{i\}} & p_{\{j\}} & p_{\{m\}}\\ p_{\{k,m\}} & p_{\{l\}} & p_{\{i,k\}}\end{array}\right],\ \text{where } \{i,j,k,l,m\}=\{1,2,3,4,5\}.$$

The two first columns of the matrix consist of two triangles, perspective rays consist of two points in each of the rows and the point "ahead" the matrix.

However, this structure is not homogeneous: the point p_a has rank $\begin{cases}7 & \text{when } |a|=1\\ 4 & \text{when } |a|=2\end{cases}$. This yields that the automorphism group of it is trivially determined by the symmetric group S_X. ◯

Let us point out also that the considered representation $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{P})$ *may depend* on the coordinate field of the space $\mathfrak{P}$.

EXAMPLE 2.15. Let $\mathfrak{F}=Z_2$. Recall that the points of $\mathfrak{P}$ are the nonzero vectors of a vector space over Z_2. A straightforward computation gives that the third point on the line through distinct points a,b is the (vector-sum) $a+b$. So, a point $p_{\{i,j\}}$ coincides with q_i+q_j for distinct i,j. In particular, for each 3-set $Z=\{i,j,l\}$ of



Figure 2: Illustration to 2.14

indices, the projective points $p_{\{i,j\}}$, $p_{\{j,l\}}$, and $p_{\{l,i\}}$ are on a line of $\mathfrak{P}$. Let the set X of indices contain exactly 5 elements, so, consider $\mathcal{P}_2(PG(3,2))$. Then the map p embeds the Desargues configuration $\mathbf{G}_2(5)$ into $PG(3,2)$. The obtained Desargues subconfiguration completes the configuration on Figure 2 to the projective space $PG(3,2)$. In particular, there are more lines in $PG(3,2)$ which join the points in $\mathcal{P}(PG(3,2))$ than those listed in 2.14.

Example 2.16. The reasoning of 2.15 can be presented in a slightly more general way. The analytical representation defined in 2.1 allows us to show, in particular, the following

(i) For any three digits i, j, l we have $p_{\{i,j\}}$, $p_{\{j,l\}}$, $p_{\{i,l\}}$, and $p_{\{i,j,l\}}$ coplanar.

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{F} = Z_3$. For any four element set $\{i, j, l, m\}$ the points $p_{\{i,j,l\}}$, $p_{\{i,j,m\}}$, $p_{\{i,l,m\}}$, $p_{\{j,l,m\}}$ in the space $PG(n,3)$, $n \geq 4$ are coplanar. ◯

References

[1] H. S. M. Coxeter, *Self-dual configurations and regular graphs*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **56**(1950), 413–455.

[2] L. S. Evans, *Some configurations of triangle centers*, Forum Geom. **3**(2003), 49–56.

[3] Ch. D. Godsil, G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer V. 2001.

[4] H. Havlicek (the presenter), B. Odehnal, M. Saniga, Möbius Pairs and Pauli Operators, [presented at:] Conference on Geometry – Theory and Applications, Vorau, Austria, June 22nd, 2011.

[5] A. Herzer, *Chain geometries*, [in] E. Buekenhout (Ed), *Handbook of incidence geometry, 781–842*, Elsevier, 1995.

[6] M. Ch. Klin, R. Pöschel, K. Rosenbaum, *Angewandte Algebra für Mathematiker und Informatiker*, VEB Deutcher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1988.

[7] A. Owsiejczuk, M. Prażmowska, *Combinatorial generalizations of generalized quadrangles of order* $(2, 2)$, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **53**(2009), no. 1, 45–57.

[8] M. Pankov, *Grassmannians of classical buildings*, World Scientific, 2010.

[9] M. Pankov, *Transformations of Grassmannians preserving the class of base sets*, J. Geom. **79** (2004), no. 1-2, 169–176.

[10] S. E. Payne, J. A. Thas, *Finite generalized quadrangles*, Research Notes in Math. 110, Pitman, Boston, 1984.

[11] B. Polster, *A geometrical picture book*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1998.

[12] M. Prażmowska, K. Prażmowski *The Cox, Clifford, Möbius, Miquel, and other related configurations and their generalizations*, mimeographed.

Authors' address:
Małgorzata Prażmowska, Krzysztof Prażmowski
Institute of Mathematics, University of Białystok
ul. Akademicka 2
15-246 Białystok, Poland
e-mail: malgpraz@math.uwb.edu.pl, krzypraz@math.uwb.edu.pl